

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,289	10/16/2001	Vincent Turturro	6530.0145-02	3612
22852	7590 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			MCCROSKY, DAVID J	
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			8

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>A</b>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
• 1	09/977,289	TURTURRO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. McCrosky	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	January 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 86-88 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,4-6 and 86-88 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar oction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
. apo. 115(0)	٠, ـــ ٥ ١٠٠٠ ــــ	<del></del>			

Application/Control Number: 09/977,289

Art Unit: 3736

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/977,289

Art Unit: 3736

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,897,507 to Kortenbach et al in view of Ryan et al. Kortenbach et al claim a distal assembly having a first jaw and a second jaw pivotable relative to the first jaw. An irrigation conduit is coupled to the first jaw and an aspiration conduit is coupled to the second jaw. A flexible tubular member comprising an irrigation and aspiration conduit is claimed. A proximal actuator is claimed. Kortenbach et al do not claim an irrigation port or an aspiration port. However, Ryan et al teach that in a biopsy system having irrigation and aspiration capabilities, it is well known in the art to provide a proximal actuator handle comprising an irrigation (32) and aspiration (34) port. See Fig. 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the proximal actuator of Kortenbach et al with an irrigation port and an aspiration port, as taught by Ryan et al, to provide the necessary inlet for irrigation and aspiration capabilities.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4-6 and 86-88 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the claims distinguish over the prior art. Huitema et al teach a first jaw and a second jaw pivotable relative to the first jaw as well as aspiration and irrigation conduits. Huitema et al do not teach or suggest the specific configuration of an irrigation conduit

Application/Control Number: 09/977,289

Art Unit: 3736

leading to and in fluid communication with the first jaw and an aspiration conduit leading

Page 4

to and in fluid communication with the second jaw.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. McCrosky whose telephone number is 703-

305-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Beth Jones can be reached on 703-308-3400. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJM

MARY BETH JONES

ACTING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER